

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Volker Wack WACK, V. ET AL.-2 10/772,906 02/05/2004 5223 (Cont) EXAMINER 25889 7590 12/14/2004 TANNER, HARRY B WILLIAM COLLARD COLLARD & ROE, P.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576 3744

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- }
	10/772,906	WACK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	/
	Harry B. Tanner	3744	/
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, on. , a reply within the statutory minimun period will apply and will expire SIX (is statute, cause the application to become the statute.	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered tir 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideratio		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or the drawing(s) be held in a correction is required if the drawing or the drawing or both or b	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received ments have been received e priority documents have ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No. <u>10/149,9</u> been received in this Nation	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/5/04. 	8) Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (P	°TO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/772,906

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al in view of Shirmohamadi. Shimizu discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Shimizu discloses an electronic mixed water preparation device connected to a plurality of water feeding systems 43A-C and having an operating unit 7A with indicator 74A and input means 73A-F for presetting a nominal value, electronic controller unit 50 acting on a control line for preparing mixed water via a mechanical setting element 21 operated by stepping motor 31 wherein the controller unit and the mechanical setting element are arranged in one single compact device 10 suited for mounting under plaster. Shirmohamadi teaches the use of a single rotatably supported setting body 110 for mixing the hot and cold water corresponding with its rotational position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Shimizu such that it used a single rotatably supported setting body in order to control the mixing of the hot and cold water rather than the sliding valve 15 in order to reduce the cost of the valve means in view of the teachings of Shirmohamadi.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al in view of Shirmohamadi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Garris. Shimizu discloses a micro-controller 51 which inherently is connected to data and

Art Unit: 3744

program memory. Garris teaches the use of an interface module 20 for connecting elements of a temperature control. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Shimizu such that it included the use of an interface module for connecting elements of the temperature control in view of the teachings of Garris.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al in view of Shirmohamadi as applied to claim above, and further in view of Garvey et al. Shimizu discloses a plurality of system functions set by the operating unit.

Garvey teaches the use of a menu means in order to allow the user to program various system functions (see col. 13, lines 3-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Shimizu such that it included the use of a menu means in order to allow the user to program various system functions in view of the teachings of Garvey.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry B. Tanner whose telephone number is (571) 272-4813. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 am to 6:00 pm Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday and 2:00 pm to 6:00 pm Tuesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published Application/Control Number: 10/772,906 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry B. Tanner
Primary Examiner

Hy B. Jann

Art Unit 3744